Application No. Applicant(s) 10/667.194 STOLTE ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit MARC R. FILIPCZYK 2158 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) MARC R. FILIPCZYK. (3) YALEI SUN. (2) DOUGLAS CRISMAN. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 18 February 2010 Time: 12:00 Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: NONE Claims discussed: 91-135 Prior art documents discussed: BARG (6.707.454) Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Mohammad Ali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2158

/Marc R Filipczyk/ Examiner, Art Unit 2158

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed. Examiner and Applicant's representatives discussed all the amended claims and the invention. It was agreed that several clarifications were needed including incorporating some subject matter from claims 96, 98 and 126 into the independent claims, and clarifying that measures were used in the detecting user request step. Agreement was reached and permission to enter the changes via examiner's amendment was grantent was practice.